

## **UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE RESOLUTION**

BE IT RESOLVED the Executive Committee of the University Faculty Senate recommends that each faculty governance body pass the attached resolution on next steps for an assessment framework.

### **RESOLUTION ON NEXT STEPS FOR AN ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK**

Whereas, the request of the Faculty Council of Community Colleges and the University Faculty Senate that the Board of Trustees suspend the implementation of its June 17, 2003 resolution on assessment in favor of a continuing dialogue on this issue has been honored; and

Whereas, Chancellor King has “invited faculty governance bodies [to] take the lead in developing a draft of a revised proposal” for university-wide campus based assessment of the “building blocks of general education;” and

Whereas, the Executive Committees of the Faculty Council of Community Colleges and the University Faculty Senate believe that such a dialogue would be beneficial to the process of searching for a joint agreement on a framework for university-wide campus based assessment that would satisfy the concerns of both the faculty and the Board of Trustees; therefore

Be it resolved that the faculty of SUNY \_\_\_\_\_ supports the following four-part proposal to initiate this dialogue:

1. The establishment of an assessment framework for determining the level of achievement and/or the increment of growth in learning achieved by SUNY undergraduates in the building blocks of general education. In addition to those measures already in place in campus plans, this framework should include “externally referenced measures” of the campus’s choice -- either nationally or SUNY-normed. For campuses choosing a value-added approach, this framework should consist of a set of instruments administered at two points in time: close to the student’s entry to the institution and at some later date when the student has completed this learning.
2. A survey instrument that will provide for an understanding of the indicators that reflect the campus academic environment (e.g. National Survey of Student Engagement-NSSE).
3. An analysis of the relationship between academic assessment results and these environmental influences. This analysis would be done at the campus level and included in campus reports.
4. An indication of how individual campus plans will be folded into the GEAR approval process, including the specific criteria that GEAR will use in approving them.